AJN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.190 OF 2007

Bharat D. Ruskar ... Petitioner

Vs.

Senior Inspector of Police & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Kuldeep S. Patil for the petitioner.

Ms. S.D. Shinde, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : SMT. RANJANA DESAI & D. B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DATED : 20TH MARCH, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. We have heard Mr. Patil, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Ms. Shinde, the learned A.P.P. for the State. We have also perused the affidavit filed by Mr. A.M. Pimple, Sub-Inspector of Police, Taloja Police Station, Mumbai.

2. The grievance of the petitioner, in short, is that the police have not properly investigating the case. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the police have made no efforts to find out who is behind the assault on the petitioner. The learned A.P.P. has made a grievance that the petitioner is not co-operating with the police. The learned counsel for the petitioner

denies this. At this stage, we do not wish to go into that aspect of the matter. The learned A.P.P. states that if the petitioner attends the Taloja Police Station tomorrow i.e. on 21/3/2007 at 11.00 a.m., PSI Pimple shall record his supplementary statement and the police shall carry out further investigation on the leads, if any, available from the statement of the petitioner. We accept this statement. The petition is disposed of.

[SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.]

[D. B. BHOSALE, J.]